

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,292	MOROZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anatoly Vortman	2835	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835.

(3) ____

 (2) Mr. Edwin D. Garlepp, Reg. No. 45,330.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 14 October 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner has informed the Applicant's representative that IDS filed on 10/5/05 has not been considered, because the sole reference cited on the IDS (US/5,323,292) is already of record (see PTO-892 mailed on 05/03/05). Therefore, the redundant consideration is not necessary. The attorney has agreed that redundant consideration is not necessary.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Vortman', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**